# **Inorganic Chemistry**

# Low-Coordinate Bismuth Cations

Ryan J. Schwamm,<sup>†</sup> Benjamin M. Day,<sup>§</sup> Martyn P. Coles,<sup>[\\*](#page-8-0),†</sup> and Christopher M. Fitchett<sup>‡</sup>

† School of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 600, Wellington, New Zealand § Department of Chemistry, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QJ, U.K.

‡ Department of Chemistry, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch 8041, New Zealand

# **S** [Supporting Information](#page-8-0)

ABSTRACT: Chloride abstraction from the diamido-bismuth compound Bi(Me<sub>2</sub>Si{NAr}<sub>2</sub>)Cl (1, Ar = 2,6-*i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>) using  $MCl<sub>3</sub>$   $(M = Al, Ga)$  is a facile route to cationic species. Stoichiometric reactions afford the tetrachlorometallate salts  $[Bi(Me_2Si{NAr}_2)][MCl_4]$  (2a, M = Al; 3a, M = Ga), whereas reaction with 0.5 equiv of the group 13 reagent gives the  $\mu$ chlorido bridged cations  $[\{Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)\}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})][MCl_4]$  $(2b, M = Al; 3b, M = Ga)$ . The crystal structure of 2a shows a formally two-coordinate bismuth cation, with a Bi···Cl contact to the  $[AlCl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>$  anion, whereas the structure of 3b shows a total of three Bi…Cl contacts to [GaCl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>. Both species associate as  $\{1:1\}$ <sub>2</sub> dimers in the solid state through additional Bi···Cl interactions. Attempted preparation of cationic



complexes using either NaBR<sub>4</sub> (R = Ph, Et) or [HNEt<sub>3</sub>][BPh<sub>4</sub>] were unsuccessful. Instead of forming the borate salts, the neutral compounds  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\})$ R (4, R = Et; 5, R = Ph) were isolated as a result of aryl/alkyl transfer from boron to bismuth.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Commercially available  $BiX_3$  compounds (X = halogen, triflate, nitrate) are used as Lewis acidic reagents to promote a range of organic transformations<sup>1</sup> and polymerization reactions.<sup>2</sup> More recently cationic bismut[h](#page-8-0) compounds have been investi[ga](#page-8-0)ted,  $3,4$ developing the concept that an increased electrophilic[ity](#page-8-0) associated with the positively charged metal center will enhance the activity of the reagents. Due in part to the large radius of the element [Shannon ionic radii  $Bi^{3+}$ : 6-coordinate = 1.03 Å; 8-coordinate =  $1.17 \text{ Å}$ , much of the research on cationic bismuth compounds has focused on the application of multidentate ligands able to provide additional interactions to support the metal center.<sup>6</sup> Prominent among these examples are the potentially trid[en](#page-9-0)tate systems based on either a dianionic  $(C, E, C)$  framework  $(E = N, O, S)$ ,<sup>4,7</sup> or the monoanionic  $(E, C, E)$ -system.<sup>8,9</sup> Relatively little [wo](#page-9-0)rk has been directed toward the stu[dy](#page-9-0) [o](#page-9-0)f low-coordinate bismuth cations.

A survey of the Cambridge Structural Database<sup>10</sup> was conducted to examine the distribution of coordinati[on](#page-9-0) numbers associated with crystallographically characterized cationic bismuth compounds. The results, displayed in Figure 1, were divided into three classes: bismuth(III), bismuth(V), and bismuth clusters (defined in this survey as species containing three or more Bi atoms). Most examples have been reported in which the bismuth is 4-coordinate (52.5%), with 6- and 8 coordinate bismuth each representing 12.0% of the total number of structures listed in the database. Both high- (>8-coordinate: 7.6%) and especially low- (<4-coordinate: 3.8%) coordinate species are poorly represented. For each coordination



Figure 1. Graph showing the coordination number of structurally characterized bismuth cations (bismuth clusters defined as compounds containing three or more Bi atoms in the structure).

number there is a fairly even split between Bi(III) and higher nuclearity "cluster" compounds, with far fewer examples of  $Bi(V)$ cations. The exception is the 4-coordinate species, for which a large number of  $[\text{BiAr}_4]$ <sup>+</sup> cations have been structurally determined.

Received: January 20, 2014 Published: March 17, 2014

<span id="page-1-0"></span>The only structurally characterized two-coordinated bismuth cation is supported by the bulky diamido-ligand  $[\text{Me}_2\text{Si}\{\text{N}t\text{-Bu}\}_2]^{2-}$  $(I,$  Figure 2).<sup>[11](#page-9-0)</sup> The salt is generated by chloride abstraction



Figure 2. Examples of structurally characterized bismuth cations containing tetrachlorometallate anions (see text for references).

from the neutral Bi(III) precursor Bi(Me<sub>2</sub>Si{Nt-Bu}<sub>2</sub>)Cl, using MCl<sub>3</sub> ( $M = Al$ , Ga, and In), to afford the corresponding tetrachlorometallate species. This strategy for cation production has been applied to other bismuth systems.12−<sup>17</sup> The aluminum reagent AlCl<sub>3</sub> dominates as the chloride a[bstract](#page-9-0)ion agent, with relatively few reports on the use of  $GaCl<sub>3</sub>,<sup>11,15,17</sup>$  and only a single report of this reactivity being achi[eved](#page-9-0) [w](#page-9-0)ith  $InCl<sub>3</sub>^{11}$ these trends presumably reflect the differing Lewis acidity of t[he](#page-9-0) group 13 reagents.

The solid-state structure of the tetrachloroaluminate salt I contains long-range Bi $\cdots$ Cl interactions between the [Bi(Me<sub>2</sub>Si- ${Nt-Bu}_2^{\})^+$  cation and chlorides from two  ${[AlCl_4]}^-$  anions, generating a polymeric chain parallel to the b-axis of the unit cell. Such moderation of positive charge in bismuth salts through the formation of intermolecular Bi···Cl contacts to the  $[MCI<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>$  counterions is relatively common, and may be augmented by additional associations in the solid state. For example, the reaction of  $BiCl<sub>3</sub>$  and  $AlCl<sub>3</sub>$  in the presence of hexamethyl benzene affords the  $\{1:1\}$ <sub>2</sub> dimeric salt with an  $\eta$ <sup>3</sup>bonded aromatic ring  $(II)$ ,<sup>12</sup> whereas generating the  $[BiCl<sub>2</sub>]$ <sup>+</sup> cation in the presence of  $SbPh_3$  $SbPh_3$ , using toluene as solvent, restricted the aggregation to the monobismuth species  $(III).$ <sup>16</sup> The only structurally characterized tetrachlorogallate salt [of](#page-9-0) bismuth involves the N,N′,N′-tris(trimethylsilyl)hydrazido ligand  $(IV).<sup>15</sup>$  In this example bismuth is involved in threecenter four-electron bonding to the two ligands, precluding the formation of interion Bi…Cl interactions with the  $[GaCl_4]^$ anion.

In this Contribution we examine the scope and limitations of cation formation, using  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)Cl$ ,  $(1, Ar = 2, 6-i-1)$  $Pr_2C_6H_3$ ,<sup>18</sup> as a starting material. Compound 1 is stable under anaerobic [c](#page-9-0)onditions, and we have demonstrated that the chelating diamide ligand is a stable platform for further derivation of the Bi−Cl bond. While formation of cationic species is relatively straightforward using  $MCl_3$  ( $M = Al$ , Ga), attempts at synthesizing salts containing borate anions  $\text{[BR}_4]^-$ 

were complicated by transfer of the R-group and formation of  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)R$ .

#### 2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. General. All manipulations were carried out under dry nitrogen using standard Schlenk-line and cannula techniques, or in a conventional nitrogen-filled glovebox. Solvents were dried over appropriate drying agents and degassed prior to use. NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance DPX 300 MHz spectrometer at 300.1  $(^1\mathrm{H})$  and 75.4  $(^{13}\mathrm{C})$  MHz or a Varian VNMRS 500 MHz spectrometer at 500.1 ( $^{1}$ H), 160.4 ( $^{11}$ B), 125.4 ( $^{13}$ C), and 99.3 ( $^{29}$ Si) MHz. Proton and carbon chemical shifts were referenced internally to residual solvent resonances. 29Si NMR chemical shifts were obtained from <sup>1</sup> H−29Si heteronuclear multiple-bond correlation (HMBC) spectra. Elemental analyses were performed by S. Boyer at London Metropolitan University. BiCl<sub>3</sub> was freshly sublimed prior to the synthesis of  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)Cl$  (1), which was made according to our previously published procedure.<sup>18</sup> [HNEt<sub>3</sub>][BPh<sub>4</sub>] was synthesized from  $[HNEt_3]$  $[HNEt_3]$ Cl and  $Na[BPh_4]$  $Na[BPh_4]$  $Na[BPh_4]$  according to the procedure described by Evans and co-workers.<sup>9</sup> All other chemicals were purchased from commercial sources an[d](#page-9-0) used as received.

2.2. Preparation of  $[Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)][AlCl_4]$  (2a). A solution of 1 (0.100 g, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of AlCl<sub>3</sub> (0.019 g, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (5 mL). The resulting dark red solution was stirred for 4 h followed by concentration and storage at −30 °C. After 24 h, dark red crystals were obtained. Yield 0.105 g, 88%. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{40}N_{2}AlBiCl_{4}Si$ (786.47): C, 39.71; H, 5.13; N, 3.56%. Found: C, 39.85; H, 5.01; N, 3.68%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.26 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.7 Hz, 4H, *m*-CH), 6.60 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.7 Hz, 2H, p-CH), 3.49 (m br, 4H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.21 (br, 24H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.13 (s, 6H, SiMe<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  \*, 148.5, 128.8, 122.5 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>), 28.2 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 27.0 (br, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 10.4 (SiMe). \* *o*-C not observed. <sup>29</sup>Si NMR: δ 38.0.

2.3. Generation of  $[{Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})][AlCl_4]$  (2b). *Method 1—NMR Scale.* A solution of  $2a$  (0.020 g, 0.026 mmol) in  $\rm C_6D_6$  (0.5 mL) was added to 1 (0.085 g, 0.013 mmol). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum indicated complete consumption of starting materials after 10 min at room temperature.

Method 2-Preparative Scale. A solution of  $1$  (0.040 g, 0.061) mmol) in toluene  $(2 \text{ mL})$  was added to a stirring suspension of  $\text{AlCl}_3$ (0.004 g, 0.031 mmol) in toluene (2 mL). The resulting suspension was stirred for 4 h followed by the removal of volatiles. <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the crude product revealed formation of 2b.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR:  $\delta$ 7.24 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7.8 Hz, 4H, m-CH), 6.74 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7.8 Hz, 2H, p-CH), 3.86 (br sept, 4H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.25 (d,  $^{3}$ <sub>HH</sub> = 6.6 Hz, 24H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.20 (s, 6H,  $Sim_e_2$ ).

2.4. Generation of  $[Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)][GaCl_4]$  (3a). Method 1-NMR Scale. A solution of 2b (0.020 g, 0.026 mmol) in  $C_6D_6$  $(0.5 \text{ mL})$  was added to 1  $(0.009 \text{ g}, 0.013 \text{ mmol})$ . The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum indicated complete consumption of starting materials after 10 min at room temperature.

Method 2-Preparative Scale. A solution of 1 (0.040 g, 0.061 mmol) in toluene  $(2 \text{ mL})$  was added to a solution of GaCl<sub>3</sub>  $(0.011 \text{ g})$ 0.061 mmol) in toluene (2 mL). Removal of the volatiles followed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the crude products revealed formation of  $3a.$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.28 (d,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.8$  Hz, 4H, m-CH), 6.58 (t,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.8$  Hz, 2H, p-CH), 3.51 (sept, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.7 Hz, 4H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.24 (br, 24H, CH $Me<sub>2</sub>$ ), 0.13 (s, 6H, SiMe<sub>2</sub>).

2.5. Preparation of  $[{Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})][GaCl_4]$  (3b). A solution of  $1$  (0.100 g, 0.15 mmol) in toluene  $(4 \text{ mL})$  was added dropwise to a stirring suspension of  $GaCl<sub>3</sub>$  (0.013 g, 0.075 mmol) in toluene (4 mL). The resulting dark red solution was stirred for 2 h followed by concentration of the solution and storage at −30 °C. After 24 h, small dark red crystals of 3b were obtained. Yield 0.047 g, 40%. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{52}H_{80}N_4Bi_2Cl_5GaSi_2$  (1482.34): C, 42.13; H, 5.44; N, 3.78%. Found: C, 41.97; H, 5.31; N, 3.90%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.25 (d, <sup>3</sup> $I = 7.8$  Hz, 4H m, CH) 6.74 (t, <sup>3</sup> $I = 7.8$  Hz, 2H m, CH) 3.90  $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7.8 Hz, 4H, m-CH), 6.74 (t,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7.8 Hz, 2H, p-CH), 3.90  $(\text{sept}, \, ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 \, \text{Hz}, \, ^4H, \, \text{CHMe}_2)$ , 1.27  $(\text{d}, \, ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 \, \text{Hz}, \, ^2H$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.21 (s, 6H, SiMe<sub>2</sub>). <sup>29</sup>Si NMR:  $\delta$  31.2. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR data

could not be obtained due to decomposition of 3b in solution over extended periods of time.

2.6. Preparation of Bi(Me<sub>2</sub>Si{NAr}<sub>2</sub>)Ph (4). Method  $1$ —Preparative Scale from Bi(Me<sub>2</sub>Si{NAr}<sub>2</sub>)Cl + NaBPh<sub>4</sub>. A solution of 1 (0.100 g, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) was added dropwise to a stirring suspension of NaBPh<sub>4</sub> (0.051 g, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (5 mL). The resulting solution was stirred for 72 h at 90 °C, followed by removal of the volatiles. Extraction into hexane and filtering through Celite resulted in a clear yellow solution. The solution was concentrated and stored at −30 °C. After 24 h, a number of white crystals of BPh<sub>3</sub> were deposited. Further concentration and storage at −30 °C yielded a second crop of crystals, identified as a mixture of BPh<sub>3</sub> and 4. Yield 0.070 g, 67%.

Method 2—NMR Scale from Bi(Me<sub>2</sub>Si{NAr}<sub>2</sub>)Et + [HNEt<sub>3</sub>][BPh<sub>4</sub>]. A solution of 5 (0.020 g, 0.031 mmol) in  $C_6D_6$  was added to  $[HNEt<sub>3</sub>][BPh<sub>4</sub>]$  (0.013 g, 0.031 mmol). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum revealed complete formation of 4 and BPh<sub>3</sub> after 0.5 h at room temperature.

Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{32}H_{45}N_2BiSi$  (694.78): C, 55.32; H, 6.53; N, 4.03%. Found: C, 55.48; H, 6.64; N, 3.95%.  $^{1}$ H NMR:  $\delta$  8.48 (d,  $^{3}$ J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.5 Hz, 2H,  $o$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 7.57 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.5 Hz, 1H,  $p$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 6.95 (t, 3<sub>J</sub> = 7.5 Hz, 2H m<sub>+</sub>C<sub>H</sub> ) 4.30 (cent<sup>3</sup>J = 6.8 Hz, 4H CHMe)  $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7.5 Hz, 2H, m-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 4.30 (sept, <sup>3</sup> $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 6.8 Hz, 4H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.28 (d,  ${}^{3}$ J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.8 Hz, 24H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.63, 0.15 (s, SiMe<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  148.0 (br,  $C_6H_5$ ), 147.2 ( $C_6H_3$ ), 140.9 ( $C_6H_3$ ), 136.2 ( $C_6H_5$ ), 131.6  $(C_6H_5)$ , 129.4  $(C_6H_3)$ , 128.4  $(C_6H_3)$ , 124.5  $(C_6H_5)$ , 27.8 (br, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 25.1 (br, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 17.5, 4.8 (SiMe). <sup>29</sup>Si NMR:  $\delta$  19.5.

2.7. Preparation of Bi(Me<sub>2</sub>Si{NAr}<sub>2</sub>)Et (5). A solution of 1 (0.100 g, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) was added dropwise to a stirring suspension of NaBE $t_4$  (0.023 g, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (5 mL). The resulting solution was stirred for 24 h at room temperature, followed by removal of the volatiles and extraction into hexane. The resulting suspension was filtered through Celite and concentrated, followed by storage at −30 °C. After 24 h, clear yellow crystals were formed. Yield 0.061 g, 63%. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{28}H_{45}N_2BiSi$  (646.73): C, 52.00; H, 7.01; N, 4.33%. Found: C, 51.91; H, 7.12; N, 4.24%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.19 (d, <sup>3</sup> $I = 76$  Hz,  $2H_{\text{H}}$  6 Hz,  $4H_{\text{H}}$  CH) 6 99 (t, <sup>3</sup> $I = 76$  Hz,  $2H_{\text{H}}$  6 Hz,  $2H_{\text{H}}$  6 Hz  $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7.6 Hz, 4H, m-CH), 6.99 (t,  $^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7.6 Hz, 2H, p-CH), 4.28 (sept,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.9$  Hz, 4H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 2.47 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 8.1$  Hz, 3H,  $CH_2CH_3$ ), 2.04 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{HH}$  = 8.1 Hz, 2H,  $CH_2CH_3$ ), 1.31 (br, 24H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.42 (s, 3H, SiMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.04 (s, 3H, SiMe<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$ 147.9, 141.4, 124.2, 123.5  $(C_6H_3)$ , 27.7  $(CHMe_2)$ , 25.9, 25.4 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 15.6 (SiMe), 8.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.2 (SiMe). BiCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> was not observed. <sup>29</sup>Si NMR:  $\delta$  17.8.

2.8. Crystal Structure Data. Crystals were covered in inert oil, and suitable single crystals were selected under a microscope and mounted on a Enraf Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer (2a) or an Agilent SuperNova diffractometer fitted with an Atlas detector (3b, 4, 5). Data was collected at 173(2) K (2a) or 120.01(10) K (3b, 4, 5) using Mo K $\alpha$  radiation at 0.71073 Å (2a, 4, 5) or Cu K $\alpha$  radiation at 1[.](#page-9-0)5418 Å  $(3b)$ . The structures were refined with SHELXL-97.<sup>19</sup> Additional features of note are listed below:

[{Bi(Me<sub>2</sub>Si{NAr}<sub>2</sub>)}<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -Cl)][GaCl<sub>4</sub>] (3b). The compound crystallizes with a molecule of hexane in the unit cell.

 $Bi(Me_2Si(NArf_2)Ph$  (4). The toluene solvate was located on an inversion center and was refined at half-occupancy; hydrogen atoms were not included in this model.

 $Bi(Me_2Si(NAr)$ <sub>2</sub>)Et (5). The data solves in both the R3 and R3<sup>3</sup> space groups; the latter model (in which the molecule is located on an inversion center) is presented. The disorder was modeled as alternative positions of the BiEt/SiMe<sub>2</sub> groups, with a single position for the NAr groups. There are large solvent channels in the crystal structure containing a poorly resolved and unidentified solvent; this was not modeled in the solution.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Reaction of  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\})$ Cl with MCl<sub>3</sub> (M = Al, Ga, In). NMR spectroscopy is a convenient tool for the detection of changes to the Bi environment in relation to the chelating diamide ligand. The  $C_1$  symmetry imposed by the pyramidal geometry of the bismuth atom in  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)$ 

Cl (1) is most clearly evident from inequivalent  $\text{SiMe}_2$ resonances at  $\delta_H$  0.60 and 0.07 ppm.<sup>18</sup> Formation of a planar 2-coordinate Bi cation would, howeve[r,](#page-9-0) generate a single set of ligand resonances in the NMR spectrum, predicted for a  $C_{2h}$ ligand environment.

The reaction between  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)Cl$  and one equiv of  $AICI<sub>3</sub>$  in toluene proceeds with an immediate color change from yellow to deep red. Concentration and cooling of the resultant solution to −30 °C afforded deep red crystals of the tetrachloroaluminate salt,  $[Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)][AICl_4]$  (2a, Scheme 1). The  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum shows a single sharp





 $a(i)$  2MCl<sub>3</sub> (2 M = Al; 3 M = Ga).

peak for the SiMe<sub>2</sub> protons at  $\delta$ <sub>H</sub> 0.13 ppm and broad resonances at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.49 and 1.21 ppm for the *iso-*propyl methine and methyl protons, respectively (Figure 3a). These data are consistent with the formation of  $[\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{Me}_2\mathrm{Si}\{\mathrm{NAr}\}_2)]^*$  $[\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{Me}_2\mathrm{Si}\{\mathrm{NAr}\}_2)]^*$  $[\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{Me}_2\mathrm{Si}\{\mathrm{NAr}\}_2)]^*$ , although we recognize that a number of alternative structures involving cation···anion interactions in the solution state also fit these data (Supporting Information, Figure S1). There is a notable low-field shift of the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR resonance upon generation of the cation, from  $\delta_{Si}$  = 29.7 ppm in 1 to  $\delta_{Si}$  = 38.0 in 2a ( $\Delta \delta_{Si}$  = +8.3 ppm). This indicates that changes to the environment at the bismuth center influence spectroscopic properties of the remote silicon atom, which therefore serves as a convenient handle to probe the electronic state of the metal in solution.

The analogous NMR scale reaction between 1 and  $GaCl<sub>3</sub>$ (1 equiv) in  $C_6D_6$  proceeded in a similar manner, with an immediate color change on mixing to afford a deep red solution. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was similar to that observed for isolated 2a, with  $\text{SiMe}_2$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>, and CHMe<sub>2</sub> resonances at  $\delta_H$  3.51, 1.24, and 0.13 ppm, respectively, and are assigned to the tetrachlorogallate analogue of  $2a$ ,  $[Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)]$ -[GaCl<sub>4</sub>], 3a (Figure 3d). Attempted isolation of 3a at  $-30$  °C, however, gave two v[isu](#page-3-0)ally distinct species that cocrystallized as pale yellow and deep red solids. The lighter-colored solid was 1 H NMR silent and had a melting point of 78−79 °C, consistent with GaCl<sub>3</sub> (mp 77.9  $\pm$  02 °C).<sup>20</sup> Mechanical separation enabled full characterization of the red [cr](#page-9-0)ystals, including singlecrystal X-ray diffraction analysis, which identified the crystals as the dibismuth cation  $[\{Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)\}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})][GaCl_4]$ (3b).

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of an isolated sample of 3b is also consistent with a  $C_{2h}$  symmetric structure in solution, with selected peaks shifted notably downfield from the corresponding resonances for the  $[\text{Bi}(\text{Me}_2\text{Si}\{\text{NAr}\}_2)]^+$  cation in 3a (Figure 3c). These chemical shift differences are most prominent fo[r](#page-3-0) the  $p\text{-}C_6H_3$  ( $\delta_H$  6.74 ppm), CHMe<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta_H$  3.90 ppm), and SiMe<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta_H$  0.21 ppm) resonances in 3b, with  $\Delta \delta_H$  values of

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of cationic Bi compounds 2a, 2b, 3a, and 3b. (a) 2a from isolated  $[\rm{Bi}(Me_2Si\rm{[NAr]_2})][\rm{AlCl_4}]$ ; (b) 2b from  $[\text{Bi}(Me_2\text{Si}\{NAr\}_2)] [AICl_4] + 1$  equiv of  $\text{Bi}(Me_2\text{Si}\{NAr\}_2)Cl$  (1); (c) 3b from isolated  $[\{\text{Bi}(Me_2\text{Si}\{NAr\}_2)\}_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)] [GaCl_4]$ ; (d) 3a from  $[\text{Bi}(\text{Me}_2\text{Si}\{\text{NAr}\}_2)]_2(\mu\text{-Cl})][\text{GaCl}_4] + 1$  equiv of GaCl<sub>3</sub>.

0.16, 0.39, and 0.08 ppm, respectively. As for 2a, the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR resonance is shifted to low field ( $\delta_{Si}$  = 31.2 ppm), although the magnitude of the shift is much less than it is in the monobismuth cation ( $\Delta \delta_{Si}$  = +1.5). These data show that the bimetallic  $[\{Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)\}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})]^+$  cation is not retained as a rigid unit in solution.

Addition of 1 equiv of  $GaCl<sub>3</sub>$  to an NMR sample of 3b in  $C_6D_6$  resulted in complete conversion to 3a. In light of these results, the aluminum system was re-examined to determine whether similar interconversion between 2a and the tetrachloroaluminate analogue of 3b is possible. Addition of one equiv of 1 to a solution of  $2a$  gave a  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum consistent with  $[\{Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)\}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})][AlCl_4]$  (2b, Figure 3b), with a maximum  $\Delta\delta$  of 0.04 ppm (CHMe<sub>2</sub>) compared with the spectrum obtained from 3b.

Veith reported that  $Bi(Me_2Si{Nt-Bu}_2)Cl$  also underwent chloride abstraction to afford the cation formed when reacted with  $InCl<sub>3</sub>$ .<sup>11</sup> However no color change was observed when InCl<sub>3</sub> was a[dd](#page-9-0)ed to a solution of 1, and NMR analysis indicated that no reaction had occurred. Attempts to promote cation formation by heating the mixture to 80 °C afforded an intractable mixture of species by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, and no clean product could be isolated. No detailed analysis of changes to the electronic structure upon replacing the nitrogen substitutents from *t*-Bu in Veith's compound to 2,6-*i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub> in 1 have been performed to explain this lack of reactivity. However, differences in the steric profile of the ligands are evident from the solid-state structures of the chlorides, where  $Bi(Me_2Si\{Nt-Bu\}_2)Cl$  forms a one-dimensional (1-D) chain linked by Bi···Cl interactions and 1 is trimeric with Bi $\cdots$ aryl  $\pi$ -bonding interactions.

The molecular structure of the tetrachloroaluminate salt  $[\text{Bi}(Me<sub>2</sub>Si{NAr}]<sub>2</sub>][AlCl<sub>4</sub>]$  2a is shown in Figure 4, with crystal structure and refinement data collected in Table [1](#page-4-0); selected



Figure 4. Thermal ellipsoid plot (30% probability, H-atoms omitted) of  $[Bi(Me_2Si{NAr}_2)][AlCl_4]$  (2a).

bond lengths and angles, along with those of the previously reported structure of compound 1 are presented in Table 2. The compound crystallizes as the  $\{1:1\}$  salt with the clos[est](#page-5-0) Bi $\cdots$ Cl contact of 2.953(3) Å, considerably longer than the terminal Bi−Cl bonds in 1 [2.556(1) Å and 2.4857(16) Å]. The Bi–N distances in 2a are within  $3\sigma$  of those in the neutral compound, albeit with a trend toward shorter bonds. The bismuth atom in 2a deviates slightly from the  $N_2S$ i least-squares plane  $(0.09(1)$  Å), which contrasts with the *endo* conformation<sup>21</sup> of 1 in which displacements of 0.294(6) and 0.229(8) Å of t[he](#page-9-0) bismuth from this plane are observed. This shift contributes to the larger ligand bite angle of  $73.7(3)^\circ$  in 2a compared with values of 70.74 $(16)^\circ$  and 71.78 $(11)^\circ$  observed in 1.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>



There is a relatively large range of Al−Cl bond lengths in the tetrachloroaluminate anion ( $\Delta_{\text{AICI}}$  = 0.08 Å), reflecting differing degrees of Bi···Cl interaction. The longest Al−Cl bond  $(2.172(4)$  Å) involves chloride Cl1, which is most closely associated with the bismuth. The shortest bond (Al−Cl2,  $2.091(5)$  Å) is at the low end of the range noted for a series of noncoordinated  $[AlCl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>$  anions within the structurally characterized compounds  $[M(\text{crown})_n(\text{THF})_m][\text{AlCl}_4]$  (M = Li/Na, crown =12-c-4,  $n = 2$ ,  $m = 0$ ;  $M = Na/K$ , crown =18-c-6,  $n = 1$ ,  $m = 2$ ; M = K, crown =15-c-5,  $n = 2$ ,  $m = 0$ : range of Al–Cl bond lengths =  $2.102(2)-2.1469(9)$  Å),<sup>22</sup> consistent with a terminal chloride ligand. The remainin[g](#page-9-0) Al−Cl bonds are intermediate between these two values and involve chlorides that are engaged in intermolecular Bi···Cl interactions to another cation, resulting in an overall  $\{1:1\}$ <sub>2</sub> molecular structure (Figure 5). The bonding mode of the  $[AlCl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>$  anion in hexa-μ-chlor[id](#page-5-0)o-1:3κ<sup>4</sup>Cl,1:4κ<sup>2</sup>Cl,2:3κ<sup>2</sup>Cl,2:4κ<sup>4</sup>Cl-dichlorido-1κCl,2κCl-[N,N′-dimethylsilanediylbis(2,6-dipropan-2-ylphenylamido-3 $\kappa$ N)][N,N'-dimethylsilanediylbis(2,6-dipropan-2ylphenylamido-4 $\kappa$ N)]dialuminiumdibismuth ([2a]<sub>2</sub>) is unusual, but has been previously observed in polymeric tin<sup>23</sup> and samarium<sup>24</sup> complexes.

The bismuth component of the tetrachlorogallate salt 3b consists of a  $\mu$ -chlorido-bridged monocation in which each pyramidal Bi atom retains a bidentate diamido-ligand. The interplanar angle between the SiN<sub>2</sub>Bi metallacycles is  $144.03(11)^\circ$ with a *trans-trans* arrangement of the ligands with respect to Si···Bi−Cl−Bi···Si. This unit is supported by three Bi···Cl interactions to the  $[GaCl_4]$ <sup>-</sup> anion (Figure 6). The Bi–Cl1 bond [le](#page-5-0)ngths within the cation are inequivalent  $(2.7888(12))$ and 2.6902(12) Å to Bi1 and Bi2, respectively;  $\Delta_{\text{BiCl}} = 0.10 \text{ Å}$ ), suggesting a localization of positive charge on Bi2. Both of the Bi−Cl distances are longer than the terminal chloride bonds in 1 and shorter than the closest Bi···Cl ion-contact in 2a, suggesting an intermediate bonding type with delocalization across the Bi−Cl−Bi moiety. The asymmetry is in good agreement with the only other structurally characterized mono chloride-bridged cation,  $[\{t\text{-BuN}(\text{CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)_2\text{Bi}\}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})][\text{B} (C_6F_5)_4$ , for which  $\Delta_{\text{BiCl}} = 0.11$  Å. As noted for 2a, there is no signifi[c](#page-9-0)ant decrease in Bi−N bond lengths upon generation of the cation, and the Bi atoms are essentially coplanar with the chelating diamide (deviation from the  $N<sub>2</sub>Si$  least-squares plane =  $0.088(8)$  and  $0.050(7)$  Å for Bi1 and Bi2, respectively), generating relatively large ligand bite angles of  $72.08(15)^\circ$  and  $72.21(15)^\circ$ .

The interion Bi···Cl distances in the asymmetric unit of 3b span the range of 3.3168(2)−3.5618(2) Å and are considerably shorter than they are in the crystal structure of IV in which no bismuth-to-tetrachlorogallate contacts are described.<sup>15</sup> Two of the interactions in 3b involve Bi2, also consisten[t](#page-9-0) [w](#page-9-0)ith this being the more positive metal. The Ga−Cl bond lengths (range from 2.1622(14) Å to 2.1878(14) Å) span those reported for IV and the series of  $[M(\text{crown})_n(THF)_m][GaCl_4]$  compounds  $(M = Li/Na,$  crown = 12-c-4,  $n = 2$ ,  $m = 0$ ;  $M = Na$ , crown = 18-c-6,  $n = 1$ ,  $m = 0$ ;  $M = K$ , crown = 18-c-6,  $n = 1$ ,  $m = 2$ ).<sup>25</sup> The difference in the Ga−Cl bond lengths within the ani[on](#page-9-0)  $(\Delta_{GaCl} = 0.03$  Å) is considerably smaller than the corresponding difference in the Al−Cl distances of 2a, consistent with all four chlorides being involved in Bi···Cl interactions. Indeed, examination of the unit cell of 3b confirms that Cl4 is associating with a second cation  $(Cl4\cdots Bi1' 3.3277(1)$  Å) to generate the  $\{1:1\}$  aggregate bis $\{\mu$ -chlorido- $[N,N']$ dimethylsilanediylbis(2,6-dipropan-2-ylphenylamido-1κN)]- [N,N′-dimethylsilanediylbis(2,6-dipropan-2-ylphenylamido-2κN)]dibismuth(1+)}deca-μ-chlorido-1:3κ<sup>4</sup>Cl,1:4κ<sup>2</sup>Cl,1:5κ<sup>2</sup>Cl,

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) for 2a and 3b, Presented with Those of 1 for Comparison



<sup>*a*</sup>1.5 molecules in the unit cell. <sup>*b*</sup>M = Al. <sup>*c*</sup>M = Ga.



Figure 5. Molecular structure of  $[\mathbf{2a}]_2$ , showing the bridging  $[\text{AlCl}_4]^$ anions ( $' = 1 - x$ , y,  $1/2 - z$ ; aryl groups reduced to show only *ipso*carbon atoms).

 $1:6\kappa^2Cl, 2:4\kappa^2Cl, 2:5\kappa^2Cl, 2:6\kappa^4Cl, 3:4\kappa^2Cl, 5:6\kappa^2Cl - [N,N'-1]$ dimethylsilanediylbis(2,6-dipropan-2-ylphenylamido-3κN)]-



Figure 6. Thermal ellipsoid plot (30% probability, H-atoms and hexane solvate omitted) of  $[\{Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)\}_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)][GaCl_4]$  (3b).

[N,N′-dimethylsilanediylbis(2,6-dipropan-2-ylphenylamido-4κN)][N,N′-dimethylsilanediylbis(2,6-dipropan-2-ylphenylamido-5κN)][N,N′-dimethylsilanediylbis(2,6-dipropan-2-ylphenylamido-6κN)]digalliumtetrabismuthate(2-) in the solid state  $([3b]_2$ , Figure 7). This is the only structurally characterized



**Figure** 7. Molecular structure of  $\texttt{[3b]}_2$  showing the bridging  $\texttt{[GaCl}_4\texttt{]}^$ anions ( $' = -x, -y, -z$ ; aryl groups reduced to show only *ipso*-carbon atoms).

example of the tetrachlorogallate anion interacting through all four chloride ligands.

3.2. Reaction with  $M[BR_4]$  (M = Na, R = Ph, Et; M = **HNEt<sub>3</sub>, R = Ph).** Evans and co-workers have shown that the bis(aryl) bismuth chloride compound  $BiAr'_{2}Cl$  (Ar' = 2,6- $(Me<sub>2</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)$  is converted to the tetraphenylborate salt upon reaction with  $NaBPh_4$  (Scheme 2). The cation is also generated from the protonolysis reactio[n o](#page-6-0)f the allyl compound  $Biar'_2(CH_2CH=CH_2)$  with  $[HNEt_3][BPh_4]^9$  Monitoring a mixture of 1 and  $\mathrm{NaBPh}_4$  by  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR spectr[os](#page-9-0)copy indicated that the reaction did not proceed at room temperature. Heating the reaction to 90 °C for 3 d, however, afforded a mixture of yellow 4 and colorless crystals upon workup. Physical separation allowed pure samples of 4 to be prepared for analysis by NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and X-ray diffraction. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR data for 4 indicate a  $C_1$  symmetry structure, with SiMe<sub>2</sub> resonances at  $\delta_H$  0.63 and 0.15 ppm. Integration of the aromatic region of the spectrum is consistent with the presence <span id="page-6-0"></span>Scheme 2. Reaction of  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)X$  (1 X = Cl, 5 X = Et) with  $[A][BR_4]$   $(A = Na, R = Ph, Et; A = HNEt<sub>3</sub>, R = Ph)<sup>a</sup>$ 



 $a(i)$  NaBPh<sub>4</sub>; (ii) NaBEt<sub>4</sub>; (iii) [HNEt<sub>3</sub>][BPh<sub>4</sub>].

of a single phenyl group, with the peaks assigned to the orthoprotons at low field ( $\delta_H$  = 8.48 ppm,  $C_6D_6$ ). This chemical shift is significantly different from that observed for the [BPh<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> anion (e.g.,  $[\text{Bi(Ar')}_2][\text{BPh}_4]$ :  $\delta_{\text{H}} = 6.99$  ppm,  $\text{CD}_3\text{CN}^9$ ) and BiPh<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$ <sub>H</sub> = 7.73 ppm, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>). These data are consistent with formation of the phenyl compound  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)Ph$ , confirmed by elemental analysis and an X-ray crystal structure determination (vide infra). The colorless crystals that formed during the reaction were identified as  $B Ph_3$  by  $^{11}B$  NMR spectroscopy ( $\delta_B$  68 ppm<sup>26</sup>) and by comparison of their unit cell with published data.<sup>27</sup>

Aryl transfer reacti[ons](#page-9-0) from [BAr<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> anions are well documented,<sup>28</sup> and the reaction of Na[BAr<sub>4</sub>"] (Ar" = Ph, p-tolyl,  $4-F-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$  $4-F-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$  $4-F-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$ ) with bismuth(III) carboxylates has been reported recently as a convenient route to  $BiAr_3$ <sup>"</sup> species.<sup>29</sup> To probe this mode of reactivity further we examined the re[act](#page-9-0)ion of 1 with  $\text{Na}[BEt_4]$ , where the tetraethylborate anion is known to behave as an alkyl transfer reagent.<sup>30</sup> In this case the reaction proceeded at room temperature, aff[ord](#page-9-0)ing pale yellow crystals on workup. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data was consistent with the bismuth ethyl compound  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)Et$  (5) showing a  $C_1$ symmetry (SiMe<sub>2</sub>:  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  = 0.42 and 0.04 ppm). The resonances for methyl and methylene groups of the ethyl ligand appear as a triplet and quartet at  $\delta_H$  2.47 and 2.04 ppm, respectively. The <sup>29</sup>Si NMR resonances for 4 ( $\delta_{Si}$  = 19.5 ppm) and 5 ( $\delta_{Si}$  = 17.8 ppm) are shifted to higher field compared with 1 ( $\Delta \delta_{\rm Si} = -10.2$ ppm and −11.9 ppm, respectively), reflecting the relatively electron-rich metallacycle upon exchanging the bismuth substituent from chloride to aryl/alkyl groups.

The structures of 4 and 5 were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments (Tables [1](#page-4-0) and 3; Figures 8 and 9).



Unfortunately the data solution for compound 5 was complicated by molecular disorder that was modeled in the  $R\overline{3}$  space group as being about an inversion center (Supporting Information, Figure S2). This disorder is most likel[y caused by](#page-8-0) [the small size of the](#page-8-0) ethyl substituent compared to other X-groups in the  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)X$  compounds, resulting in a



Figure 8. Thermal ellipsoid plot (30% probability) of  $Bi(Me<sub>2</sub>Si {NAr}_2$ )Et, 5 (' = 1 – x, 1 – y, –z; H-atoms omitted).



Figure 9. Thermal ellipsoid plot (30% probability) of one of the independent molecules of  $Bi(Me_2Si\{NAr\}_2)Ph$ , 4 (H-atoms and toluene solvate omitted).

small energy difference between the two orientations of the metallacycle with respect to the aryl substituents. As a result the solution of the data is not of sufficient quality for a meaningful discussion of bond lengths and angles; it does, however, confirm the connectivity of 5 as a rare example of a monomeric bismuth-ethyl compound (Figure  $8$ ).<sup>31</sup>

Compound 4 crystallizes as the toluene solvate, with two independent molecules in the unit cell that differ primarily with respect to the orientation of the phenyl substituent (Figure 9). Each molecule is monomeric with a pyramidal geometry of the Bi atom and an essentially planar metallacycle (deviation of Bi from mean  $\sinh_2$  plane: Bi1 0.121(4) Å, Bi2 0.037(4) Å). The Bi−N bond lengths in 4 are longer than in the other structures examined during this study, resulting in a smaller average bite angle of 70.68(7)° for the diamide ligand. The Bi−C bond length  $(2.253(3)$  Å) is unexceptional and is within the range noted for BiPh<sub>3</sub> (2.237(7) to 2.273(8) Å).<sup>32</sup>

Comparing the two molecules within the unit cell we note that phenyl groups are rotated in opposite directions along the Bi–C<sub>ipso</sub> bond relative to the plane bisecting the metallacycle through the silicon, bismuth, and  $C_{ipso}$  atoms (molecule Bi1 = +14.85(7)°; molecule Bi2 = -29.56( $\widehat{11}$ )°, where +ve = clockwise direction—Figure 10a,b). This twisted orientation brings the portion of the phe[nyl](#page-7-0) group located below the metallacycle into close proximity with one of the i-Pr groups of the aryl substituents. The resulting steric conflict is alleviated by the nitrogen

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 10. Schematic representations of the two molecules of 4, showing (a) the different rotation of the phenyl ligand relative to the Si···Bi−Cipso vector, (b) the displacement of one of the aryl groups out of the plane of the metallacycle, and (c) the dimerization of molecule Bi2 ( $' = 2 - x, -y, 1 - z$ ).

aryl-substituent being located above the BiNSiN-plane, illustrated by the different angles that the N−Cipso bonds adopt with respect to this plane (molecule Bi1: N1−C1 = 5.9°, N2−C13 = 15.3°; molecule Bi2: N3−C34 = 9.3°, N4−C46 = 21.2°; see Supporting Information, Figure S3).

The twi[sting of the phenyl ligand is more](#page-8-0) pronounced in molecule Bi2. Examination of the packing shows that this molecule is involved in intermolecular interactions with a symmetry generated equivalent positioned about an inversion center (Figure 10c). The *para*-carbon atom of the phenyl substituent (C61) is located at 3.629(3) Å from Bi2′  $(' = 2-x, -y, 1-z)$ , within the range of distances previously attributed to a bonding interaction within the series of compounds  $[\text{BiCl}_{3} \cdot (C_6H_{6n}Me_n)]$  (Bi $\cdots$ C distances 3.168(7) to  $3.751(8)$  Å).<sup>33</sup> However, the large ring slippage of 2.57 Å from the centr[oid](#page-9-0) of the C<sub>6</sub>-ring suggests that it is the  $\pi-\pi$ interactions between the two phenyl rings that dominate (centroid−centroid distance 3.827(3) Å, plane-to-plane shift 1.295 $(5)$  Å), although the role of crystal packing forces should not be dismissed.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The paucity of low-coordinate bismuth cations is illustrated by the facts that 2a is only the second formally two-coordinate Bi<sup>+</sup> center to be structurally characterized and that 2a is a derivative of the first example.<sup>11</sup> This is perhaps not surprising given the large radius of the [e](#page-9-0)lement and the focus on multidentate ligands in this area of chemistry. As a consequence, additional interion (and other intermolecular) interactions become important in the condensed (solid) state, as shown by the Bi···Cl contacts present in the structures of 2a and 3b.

Previous work has quantified the bond order (BO) of Bi···Cl interactions (eq 1),<sup>34</sup> using values of  $r_0 = 2.423 \text{ Å}^{35}$  and  $B = 0.39$ .<sup>36</sup> We rec[ent](#page-9-0)ly used this equation to show that the intermole[cu](#page-9-0)lar Bi···Cl BO between associated molecules in the trimeric unit of 1 was  $0.14^{18}$  and that this was significantly less than that calculated for [a](#page-9-0) similar Bi···Cl interaction in the Nt-Bu analogue  $(BO = 0.20).^{37}$  Table 4 summarizes a series of BOs for some bismuth chl[or](#page-9-0)ide co[m](#page-8-0)pounds and the corresponding cations, generated by halide abstraction using  $MCl<sub>3</sub>$  $(M = Al, Ga)$ .

$$
BO = \exp\left[\frac{(r_0 - r)}{B}\right] \tag{eq 1}
$$

According to eq 1, the terminal bismuth chloride bonds in 1 have BOs of 0.85 and 0.71, reflecting the relatively long Bi−Cl distances. The smaller BO (weaker bond) corresponds to the chloride involved in bridging interactions to another molecule, showing the sensitivity of this measurement to small changes in the environment of the bond. Given that these Bi−Cl BOs are significantly less than unity, it is perhaps not surprising that chloride abstraction and generation of bismuth cations is a relatively facile process.

Conversion of 1 to the cation and association of the formally positive bismuth metal and the tetrachloroaluminate anion gives a BO of 0.26 for the primary Bi···Cl interaction, suggesting a strongly associated ion pair in the solid state with a reduction of ∼0.5 on generation of the cation ( $\Delta BO = -0.59$ and −0.45). Unfortunately, it is not possible to make a direct comparison with the  $\Delta BO$  in the corresponding Nt-Bu cation (I, Figure 2), as the primary Bi−Cl bond in the neutral compound  $(BO = 0.43)$  $(BO = 0.43)$  is already weakened by intermolecular interactions along a 1-D chain in the crystal structure (vide supra). Nevertheless a reduction is observed upon generation of the cation, with the principal Bi···[Cl−AlCl3] BO of 0.19 in this case approximately equal to the weaker Bi−Cl···Bi bridging bond along the chain. The calculated BO values for the Bi··· [Cl−AlCl<sub>3</sub>] interactions of the associated dimer in 2 (Figure 5) are 0.11 and 0.04 for Cl4 and Cl3, respectively. These val[ue](#page-5-0)s indicate weak, nonsymmetrical bonding to the second bismuth cation with values lower than the major interion interactions in I.

Comparing these BOs with the corresponding values for other ligand systems shows that the ΔBOs upon cation generation are highly dependent on the ligand. For example, the bulky cyclopentadienyl derivative  $[Bi(Cp'')Cl_2]_2$  has BO values of 0.75 for the terminal Bi−Cl bonds, with the BOs of the nonsymmetrical bridging  $\mu$ -chlorides being 0.53 and 0.19 (average from two crystal modifications). Formation of the cation retains the dimeric unit, with only minor modifications to the  $Bi(\mu$ -Cl)Bi BOs (0.57 and 0.20), and the closest contact to the  $[{\rm AICl_4}]^-$  corresponding to a BO of 0.06.

### <span id="page-8-0"></span>Table 4. Bond Orders (BOs) for Bi−Cl Bonds and Bi···Cl Interactions, Calculated Using [eq 1](#page-7-0)



<sup>a</sup>Two different crystal modifications; Cp" = [1,2,3,4-*i*Pr<sub>4</sub>C<sub>S</sub>H]<sup>-</sup>.

The tetrachlorogallate salt 3b has a nonsymmetrically bridging chloride in the cationic unit, with BOs of 0.50 and 0.39. Despite being aligned well within the dimeric unit (Figure 7), the interion BOs for Bi…[Cl–GaCl<sub>3</sub>] are only 0.10 to 0.05, [i](#page-5-0)ndicating a weak interaction. These are only slightly more than the calculated BOs of 0.03 in  $[Bi(N\{SiMe_3\}N {sin{he_3}\_2)_2$ [GaCl<sub>4</sub>] (IV).

In summary, the BOs associated with terminal Bi−Cl bonds in neutral species are significantly less than those expected for a single bond, being typically in the range of 0.7−0.8. In contrast the intermolecular BOs calculated for Bi−Cl···Bi bridges during the formation of  $\mu$ , $\mu$ -dichlorobridged dimers can be as much as ∼0.55 and during the association of neutral molecules can be up to ∼0.43. Surprisingly, formation of "contact" ion pairs does not give such large values of BO between the Bi<sup>+</sup> center and the tetrachloroaluminate anion, despite the electrostatic attraction between the ions. This is may be due to a delocalization of the positive charge into the ancillary ligands at Bi (as noted for the  $N, N', N'$ -tris(trimethylsilyl)hyradzido salt IV) and the relative exposure of the (long) terminal Bi−Cl bonds compared with the much shorter Al−Cl bonds.

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### **6** Supporting Information

Alternative solution-state structures for a  $C_{2h}$  ligand environment; additional projections of the crystal structures of 4 and 5; crystallographic information files (CIF format) for 2a (CCDC 990963), 3b (CCDC 990964), 4 (CCDC 990965), and 5 (CCDC 990966). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at [http://pubs.acs.org.](http://pubs.acs.org)

#### ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

 $*$  E-mail: martyn.coles@vuw.ac.nz. Phone: +64 (0)4 4636357.

#### Author C[ontributions](mailto:martyn.coles@vuw.ac.nz)

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors.

### Funding

Victoria Master's by thesis scholarship (R.J.S.)

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dr. Richard Hartshorn for help in naming compounds 2a and 3b using the correct "kappa" nomenclature, as currently defined by IUPAC.

### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Ollevier, T. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 2740−2755. (b) Bothwell, J. M.; Krabbe, S. W.; Mohan, R. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4649−4707. (c) Leonard, N. M.; Wieland, L. C.; Mohan, R. S. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 8373−8397.

(2) Kricheldorf, H. R. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 5579−5594.

(3) (a) Lichtenberg, C.; Pan, F.; Spaniol, T. P.; Englert, U.; Okuda, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2012, 51, 13011−13015. (b) Zhang, X.; Qiu, R.; Tan, N.; Yin, S.; Xia, J.; Luo, S.; Au, C.-T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 153−156. (c) Zhang, X.; Yin, S.; Qiu, R.; Xia, J.; Dai, W.; Yu, Z.; Au, C.-T.; Wong, W.-Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 3559− 3564.

(4) (a) Tan, N.; Yin, S.; Li, Y.; Qiu, R.; Meng, Z.; Song, X.; Luo, S.; Au, C.-T.; Wong, W.-Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 696, 1579−1583. (b) Qiu, R.; Yin, S.; Song, X.; Meng, Z.; Qiu, Y.; Tan, N.; Xu, X.; Luo, S.; Dai, F.-R.; Au, C.-T.; Wong, W.-Y. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 9482− 9489. (c) Qiu, R.; Qiu, Y.; Yin, S.; Xu, X.; Luo, S.; Au, C.-T.; Wong, <span id="page-9-0"></span>W.-Y.; Shimada, S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 153−162. (d) Qiu, R.; Yin, S.; Zhang, X.; Xia, J.; Xu, X.; Luo, S. Chem. Commun. 2009, 4759− 4761.

(5) Shannon, R. D. Acta Crystallogr. 1976, A32, 751−767.

(6) Rat, C. I.; Silvestru, C.; Breunig, H. J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2013, 257, 818−879.

(7) Bao, M.; Hayashi, T.; Shimada, S. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1816−1822.

(8) (a) Casely, I. J.; Ziller, J. W.; Fang, M.; Furche, F.; Evans, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 5244−5247. (b) Dostal, L.; Jambor, R.; ́ Růžička, A.; Jirásko, R.; Holeček, J.; De Proft, F. *Dalton Trans*. **2011**, 40, 8922−8934. (c) Breunig, H. J.; Nema, M. G.; Silvestru, C.; Soran, A. P.; Varga, R. A. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 11277−11284. (d) Soran, A. P.; Silvestru, C.; Breunig, H. J.; Balazs, G.; Green, J. C.

Organometallics 2007, 26, 1196−1203. (e) Dostál, L.; Novák, P.; Jambor, R.; Růžička, A.; Císařová, I.; Jirásko, R.; Holeček, J. Organometallics 2007, 26, 2911−2917.

(9) Casely, I. J.; Ziller, J. W.; Mincher, B. J.; Evans, W. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 1513−1520.

(10) Fletcher, D. A.; McMeeking, R. F.; Parkin, D. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1996, 36, 746−749.

(11) Veith, M.; Bertsch, B.; Huch, V. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1988, 559, 73−88.

(12) Frank, W.; Weber, J.; Fuchs, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 74−75.

(13) Sitzmann, H.; Wolmershäuser, G. Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci. 1997, 52, 398−400.

(14) Sitzmann, H.; Wolmershäuser, G.; Boese, R.; Bläser, D. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1999, 625, 2103−2107.

(15) Baumann, W.; Schulz, A.; Villinger, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9530−9532.

(16) Conrad, E.; Burford, N.; McDonald, R.; Ferguson, M. J. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4598−4600.

(17) Lehmann, M.; Schulz, A.; Villinger, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8087−8091.

(18) Day, B. M.; Coles, M. P. Organometallics 2013, 32, 4270−4278.

(19) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures; University of Gottingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

(20) CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 59th ed.; Weast, R. C., Ed.; CRC Press, Inc.: Boca Raton, FL, 1978−1979

(21) Harris, L.-A.; Coles, M. P.; Fulton, J. R. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2011, 369, 97−102.

(22) Bollmann, M.; Olbrich, F.; Trzaska, S. Private contributions from Institut fur Anorganische und Angewandte Chemie, Universitat Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany, 2003−2005; CCDC Numbers 205576, 224141, 240402, 240639, 278699.

(23) (a) Weininger, M. S.; Rodesiler, P. F.; Amma, E. L. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 751−755. (b) Frank, W. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1990, 585, 121−141. (c) Schmidbaur, H.; Probst, T.; Steigelmann, O.; Müller, G. Heteroat. Chem. 1990, 1, 161−165.

(24) (a) Troyanov, S. I. Russ. J. Coord. Chem. 1998, 24, 359−366. (b) Troyanov, S. I. Russ. J. Coord. Chem. 1998, 24, 591−597. (c) Fagin, A. A.; Bochkarev, M. N.; Kozimor, S. A.; Ziller, J. W.; Evans, W. J. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2005, 631, 2848−2853.

(25) (a) Trzaska, S.; Bollmann, M.; Olbrich, F. Private contributions from Institut fur Anorganische und Angewandte Chemie, Universitat Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany 2002 and 2004; CCDC Numbers 185721, 228000, 248405. (b) Petrosyants, S. P.; Ilynkhin, A. B. Russ. J. Coord. Chem. 2007, 33, 734−740.

(26) Evans, W. J.; Kozimor, S. A.; Ziller, J. W. Organometallics 2005, 24, 3407−3412.

(27) Zettler, F.; Hausen, H. D.; Hess, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 72, 157−162.

(28) (a) Strauss, S. H. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 927−942. (b) Stavila, V.; Thurston, J. H.; Whitmire, K. H. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 6945−6951. (29) Stavila, V.; Thurston, J. H.; Prieto-Centurión, D.; Whitmire, K. H. Organometallics 2007, 26, 6864−6866.

(30) Thaler, E. G.; Caulotn, K. G. Organometallics 1990, 9, 1871− 1876.

(31) (a) Whitmire, K. H.; Hutchison, J. C.; McKnight, A. L.; Jones, C. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 1021−1022. (b) Mitzi, D. B. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 7614−7619.

(32) Jones, P. G.; Blaschette, A.; Henschel, D.; Weitze, A. Z. Kristallogr. 1995, 210, 377−378.

(33) (a) Schier, A.; Wallis, J. M.; Müller, G.; Schmidbaur, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 757−759. (b) Schmidbaur, H.; Nowak, R.; Schier, A.; Wallis, J. M.; Huber, B.; Müller, G. Ber. Bunsen-Ges. 1987, 120, 1829−1835. (c) Schmidbaur, H.; Wallis, J. M.; Nowak, R.; Huber, B.; Müller, G. Ber. Bunsen-Ges. 1987, 120, 1837−1843. (d) Frank, W.; Schneider, J.; Müller-Becker, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 799−800. (e) Müller-Becker, S.; Frank, W.; Schneider, J. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1993, 619, 1073−1082. (f) Frank, W.; Reiland, V. Acta Crystallogr. 1998, C54, 1626−1628.

(34) Brown, I. D.; Altermatt, D. Acta Crystallogr. 1985, B41, 244− 247.

(35) Haaland, A.; Hougan, J.; Samdal, S.; Tremmel, J. Acta Chem. Scand. 1988, 42A, 409−412.

(36) Frank, W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 386, 177−186.

(37) Veith, M.; Bertsch, B. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1988, 557, 7−22.

(38) Sitzmann, H.; Wolmershäuser, G. Ber. Bunsen-Ges. 1994, 127, 1335−1342.